

Christine Cusack

From: Robert G. Clyne [RClyne@hillrivkins.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2005 3:35 PM
To: Jeff Asperger; Whitman, M. Hamilton Jr.; Christine Cusack; wcbailey@simmsshowers.com; bbrunk@gulfattorneys.com; jbartlett@semmes.com; bcole@semmes.com; agiles@semmes.com; FJGorman@GandWlaw.com; James A. Saville; berniesevel@aol.com; jskeen@skaufflaw.com; clyon@semmes.com
Cc: Kelly Saindon
Subject: RE: Tate & Lyle remaining discovery

We will write separately to the magistrate.

Robert G. Clyne
Hill Rivkins & Hayden LLP
45 Broadway, Suite 1500
New York, NY 10006
Tele (212)669-0600
Fax (212)669-0698/0699

-----Original Message-----

From: Jeff Asperger [mailto:jasperger@asplaw.net]
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2005 4:20 PM
To: 'Whitman, M. Hamilton Jr.'; Robert G. Clyne; Jeff Asperger; Christine Cusack; 'wcbailey@simmsshowers.com'; 'bbrunk@gulfattorneys.com'; 'jbartlett@semmes.com'; 'bcole@semmes.com'; 'agiles@semmes.com'; 'FJGorman@GandWlaw.com'; James A. Saville; 'berniesevel@aol.com'; 'jskeen@skaufflaw.com'; 'clyon@semmes.com'
Cc: Kelly Saindon
Subject: RE: Tate & Lyle remaining discovery

Gentlemen:

The magistrate has allowed the reopening of discovery on the issue of the wire rope. Therefore, it is my reasonable interpretation that any discovery which may bear on that issue will be germane to the pending motions, and determination of the motions cannot go forward until the discovery is complete. Since we cannot agree, I will so advise the magistrate.
Thank
you.

Jeff

-----Original Message-----

From: Whitman, M. Hamilton Jr. [mailto:mhwhitman@ober.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2005 2:15 PM
To: Robert G. Clyne; Jeff Asperger; Christine Cusack; wcbailey@simmsshowers.com; bbrunk@gulfattorneys.com; jbartlett@semmes.com; bcole@semmes.com; agiles@semmes.com; FJGorman@GandWlaw.com; James A. Saville; berniesevel@aol.com; jskeen@skaufflaw.com; clyon@semmes.com
Subject: RE: Tate & Lyle remaining discovery

As indicated before, I'm with Bob on this.

Tony

M. Hamilton Whitman, Jr.
410-347-7354
mhwhitman@ober.com

O B E R | K A L E R



Attorneys at Law

www.ober.com
 410-547-0699 -- Fax
 120 East Baltimore Street
 Baltimore, Maryland 21202

-----Original Message-----

From: Robert G. Clyne [mailto:RClyne@hillrivkins.com]
 Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2005 2:24 PM
 To: Jeff Asperger; Whitman, M. Hamilton Jr.; Christine Cusack;
 wcbailley@simmsshowers.com; bbrunk@gulfattorneys.com;
 jbartlett@semmes.com;
 bcole@semmes.com; agiles@semmes.com; FJGorman@GandWlaw.com; James A.
 Saville; berniesevel@aol.com; jskeen@skaufflaw.com; clyon@semmes.com
 Subject: RE: Tate & Lyle remaining discovery

How can a rebuttal witness be germane to a summary judgment motion? At best
 H. Popp can testify about events AFTER the casualty. If you want to take the
 deposition of Balita de bene esse, then I will go along with it as a compromise. However, none of this has any bearing on the condition of the
 wire rope at the time of the ABS survey. To now say that you want to hold
 the motions that have been on file for several months in abeyance for several more months while you take further depositions and make additional
 submissions is a wholly unreasonable position. We object.

Robert G. Clyne
 Hill Rivkins & Hayden LLP
 45 Broadway, Suite 1500
 New York, NY 10006
 Tele (212)669-0600
 Fax (212)669-0698/0699

-----Original Message-----

From: Jeff Asperger [mailto:jasperger@asplaw.net]
 Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2005 1:30 PM
 To: 'Whitman, M. Hamilton Jr.'; Robert G. Clyne; Christine Cusack;
 wcbailley@simmsshowers.com; bbrunk@gulfattorneys.com;
 jbartlett@semmes.com;
 bcole@semmes.com; agiles@semmes.com; FJGorman@GandWlaw.com; James A.
 Saville; berniesevel@aol.com; jskeen@skaufflaw.com; clyon@semmes.com
 Cc: Jeff Asperger
 Subject: RE: Tate & Lyle remaining discovery

Tony, Bob and all,

Jim Skeen and I will work on the submittal. I just want to add, Bob, that
 we cannot agree that rulings on the motions go forward if they are impacted
 in any way by this additional discovery. As I read the order, it is for that very purpose that the Magistrate is allowing the additional discovery.
 I will look at your motion again to verify, but I believe this additional
 discovery may be germane to the motions.

Jeff

-----Original Message-----

From: Whitman, M. Hamilton Jr. [mailto:mhwhitman@ober.com]
 Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2005 12:07 PM

To: Robert G. Clyne; Christine Cusack; wcbailey@simmsshowers.com;
bbrunk@gulfattorneys.com; jbartlett@semmes.com; bcole@semmes.com;
agiles@semmes.com; FJGorman@GandWlaw.com; James A. Saville;
berniesevel@aol.com; jskeen@skaufflaw.com; clyon@semmes.com
Cc: Jeff Asperger
Subject: RE: Tate & Lyle remaining discovery

Jeff and all:

I am in depositions all day today and will be out of town tomorrow. I will not have an opportunity to draft what needs to go to the court by the end of tomorrow and suggest that Jim Skeen or Jim Bartlett be asked to do so.

As to what is proposed, I have major commitments in the next three months, including a three week arbitration hearing in June, so I would ask that we ask for 120 days to complete the discovery. I am ok with the concept of a Balita deposition, or actually with any de bene esse deposition. I agree that we should encourage Judge Legg to decide the motions without waiting for the additional discovery.

Tony

M. Hamilton Whitman, Jr.
410-347-7354
mhwhitman@ober.com

O B E R | K A L E R

Attorneys at Law

www.ober.com
410-547-0699 -- Fax
120 East Baltimore Street
Baltimore, Maryland 21202

-----Original Message-----

From: Robert G. Clyne [mailto:RClyne@hillrivkins.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2005 10:49 AM
To: Christine Cusack; wcbailey@simmsshowers.com;
bbrunk@gulfattorneys.com;
jbartlett@semmes.com; bcole@semmes.com; agiles@semmes.com;
FJGorman@GandWlaw.com; James A. Saville; berniesevel@aol.com;
jskeen@skaufflaw.com; Whitman, M. Hamilton Jr.; clyon@semmes.com
Cc: Jeff Asperger
Subject: RE: Tate & Lyle remaining discovery

I have no objection to the additional discovery with the exception of Balita so long as it does not delay the resolution of the summary judgment motions. Balita does not factor into the magistrate's order. I would request that any motion/letter to the court make clear that the court can move forward in deciding the motions.

Robert G. Clyne
Hill Rivkins & Hayden LLP
45 Broadway, Suite 1500
New York, NY 10006
Tele (212) 669-0600

-----Original Message-----

From: Christine Cusack [mailto:ccusack@asplaw.net]
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2005 10:13 AM
To: wcbailey@simssshowers.com; bbrunk@gulfattorneys.com;
jbartlett@semmes.com; bcole@semmes.com; agiles@semmes.com; Robert G.
Clyne;
FJGorman@GandWlaw.com; James A. Saville; berniesevel@aol.com;
jskeen@skaufflaw.com; 'Whitman, M. Hamilton Jr.'; clyon@semmes.com
Cc: Jeff Asperger
Subject: Tate & Lyle remaining discovery

Gentlemen:

This will confirm my telephone discussions with both Tony and Bob regarding the remaining discovery. We will disclose Mike Parnell as our rebuttal expert. Tony has indicated he wants to take the depo. To solve the Heiner Popp issue, Tony has offered him for depo. If discovery is extended, we intend to continue to person the depo of Balita. Unless I hear from anyone else, this summarizes our positions and I suggest we indicate that we will need 90 days to complete this work. Tony, would you be kind enough to put all this in writing, if we are in agreement? Please feel free to use our proposal in preparing your draft. Thanks.

Jeff

Jeffrey J. Asperger
Asperger Associates LLC
Three Illinois Center
303 East Wacker Drive, Suite 1000
Chicago, Illinois 60601
312.856.9901 (Main)
312.856.9905 (Fax)